OASIS 🕅

1

Web Services Security UsernameToken Profile 1.1

4 Commitee Draft - Tuesday, 14 June 2005

5	OASIS Identifier:		
6	{WSS: SOAP Message Security }-{UsernameToken Profile }-{1.0} (Word) (PDF)		
7	Location:		
8	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2005/xx/oasis-2005xx-wss-username-token-profile-1.1		
9	Errata Location:		
10	http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tc_home.php?wg_abbrev=wss		
11	Technical Commitee:		
12	Web Service Security (WSS)		
13	Chairs:		
14	Kelvin Lawrence, IBM		
15	Chris Kaler, Microsoft		
16	Editors:		
17	Anthony Nadalin, IBM		
18	Phil Griffin, Individual		
19	Chris Kaler, Microsoft		
20	Ronald Monzillo, Sun		
21	Phillip Hallam-Baker, Verisign		
22	Abstract:		
23 24	This document describes how to use the UsernameToken with the Web Services Security (WSS) specification.		
25	Status:		
26 27	This is a technical committee document submitted for consideration by the OASIS Web Services Security (WSS) technical committee. Please send comments to the editors.		
28 29 30 31	If you are on the wss@lists.oasis-open.org list for committee members, send comments there. If you are not on that list, subscribe to the wss-comment@lists.oasis-open.org list and send comments there. To subscribe, send an email message to wss-comment-request@lists.oasis-open.org with the word "subscribe" as the body of the message.		
32 33 34	For patent disclosure information that may be essential to the implementation of this specification, and any offers of licensing terms, refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the OASIS Web Services Security Technical Committee (WSS TC) web page		

35at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/wss/ipr.php.General OASIS IPR information36can be found at http://www.oasis-open.org/who/intellectualproperty.shtml.

37 Notices

38 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights 39 that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this 40 document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; 41 neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS's procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS 42 43 website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses 44 to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission 45 for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be 46 obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

- OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent
 applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to
- 49 implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.
- Copyright © The Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards [OASIS]
 2002-2005. All Rights Reserved.
- 52 This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works
- 53 that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied,
- 54 published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the
- above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works.
 However, this document itself does not be modified in any way, such as by removing the
- 57 copyright notice or references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS
- 57 copyright holice of references to OASIS, except as needed for the purpose of developing OASIS
 58 specifications, in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the OASIS Intellectual
- 59 Property Rights document must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other 60 than English.
- 61 The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by OASIS or its 62 successors or assigns.
- 63 This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and OASIS
- 64 DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO
- ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE
 ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A
- 67 PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

68 Table of Contents

69	1 Introduction	5
70	2 Notations and Terminology	5
71	2.1 Notational Conventions	5
72	2.2 Namespaces	5
73	2.3 Acronyms and Abbreviations	
74	3 UsernameToken Extensions	7
75	3.1 Usernames and Passwords	
76	3.2 Token Reference1	1
77	3.3 Error Codes 1	
78	4 Key Derivation1	1
79	5 Security Considerations1	3
80	6 References1	4
81	Appendix A. Acknowledgements 1	6
82	Appendix B. Revision History	8
83		

84 **1 Introduction**

85 This document describes how to use the UsernameToken with the WSS: SOAP Message

Security specification [WSS]. More specifically, it describes how a web service consumer can
supply a UsernameToken as a means of identifying the requestor by "username", and optionally
using a password (or shared secret, or password equivalent) to authenticate that identity to the
web service producer.

- 90
- 91 This section is non-normative. Note that Sections 2.1, 2.2, all of 3, 4 and indicated parts of 6 are
- 92 normative. All other sections are non-normative.

93 2 Notations and Terminology

94 This section specifies the notations, namespaces, and terminology used in this specification.

95 2.1 Notational Conventions

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD",
"SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be
interpreted as described in [RFC 2119].

99

When describing abstract data models, this specification uses the notational convention used by
 the XML Infoset. Specifically, abstract property names always appear in square brackets (e.g.,
 [some property]).

103

When describing concrete XML schemas [XML-Schema], this specification uses the notational
convention of WSS: SOAP Message Security. Specifically, each member of an element's
[children] or [attributes] property is described using an XPath-like [XPath] notation (e.g.,
/x:MyHeader/x:SomeProperty/@value1). The use of {any} indicates the presence of an element
wildcard (<xs:any/>). The use of @{any} indicates the presence of an attribute wildcard

109 (<xs:anyAttribute/>).

110

Commonly used security terms are defined in the Internet Security Glossary [SECGLO]. Readers
 are presumed to be familiar with the terms in this glossary as well as the definition in the Web
 Services Security specification.

114 2.2 Namespaces

Namespace URIs (of the general form "some-URI") represents some application-dependent or context-dependent URI as defined in RFC 3986 [URI]. This specification is designed to work with the general SOAP [SOAP11, SOAP12] message structure and message processing model, and should be applicable to any version of SOAP. The current SOAP 1.1 namespace URI is used herein to provide detailed examples, but there is no intention to limit the applicability of this specification to a single version of SOAP.

121

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2005. All Rights Reserved.

14 June 2005

122 The namespaces used in this document are shown in the following table (note that for brevity, the

123 examples use the prefixes listed below but do not include the URIs - those listed below are

124 assumed).

125

Prefix	Namespace	
S11	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/	
S12	http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope	
wsse	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext- 1.0.xsd	
wsse11	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2005/xx/oasis-2005xx-wss-wssecurity-secext- 1.1.xsd	
wsu	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility- 1.0.xsd	

126

127 The URLs provided for the *wsse* and *wsu* namespaces can be used to obtain the schema files.

128 URI fragments defined in this specification are relative to a base URI of the following unless129 otherwise stated:

130 http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-131 profile-1.0

132

133 The following table lists the full URI for each URI fragment referred to in this specification.

134

URI Fragment	Full URI	
#PasswordDigest	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username- token-profile-1.0#PasswordDigest	
#PasswordText	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username- token-profile-1.0#PasswordText	
#UsernameToken	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username- token-profile-1.0 #UsernameToken	

135 **2.3 Acronyms and Abbreviations**

136 The following (non-normative) table defines acronyms and abbreviations for this document.

137

Term	Definition
SHA	Secure Hash Algorithm
SOAP	Simple Object Access Protocol
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2005. All Rights Reserved.

XML

3 UsernameToken Extensions

139 3.1 Usernames and Passwords

140 The <wsse:UsernameToken> element is introduced in the WSS: SOAP Message Security 141 documents as a way of providing a username.

142

143 Within <wsse:UsernameToken> element, a <wsse:Password> element may be specified. 144 Passwords of type PasswordText and PasswordDigest are not limited to actual 145 passwords, although this is a common case. Any password equivalent such as a derived 146 password or S/KEY (one time password) can be used. Having a type of PasswordText merely 147 implies that the information held in the password is "in the clear", as opposed to holding a "digest" 148 of the information. For example, if a server does not have access to the clear text of a password but does have the hash, then the hash is considered a *password equivalent* and can be used 149 150 anywhere where a "password" is indicated in this specification. It is not the intention of this 151 specification to require that all implementations have access to clear text passwords. 152 153 Passwords of type PasswordDigest are defined as being the Base64 [XML-Schema] encoded, 154 SHA-1 hash value, of the UTF8 encoded password (or equivalent). However, unless this digested

SHA-1 hash value, of the UTF8 encoded password (or equivalent). However, unless this digested
 password is sent on a secured channel or the token is encrypted, the digest offers no real
 additional security over use of wsse: PasswordText.

157

158 Two optional elements are introduced in the <wsse:UsernameToken> element to provide a 159 countermeasure for replay attacks: <wsse:Nonce> and <wsu:Created>. A nonce is a 160 random value that the sender creates to include in each UsernameToken that it sends. Although 161 using a nonce is an effective countermeasure against replay attacks, it requires a server to maintain a cache of used nonces, consuming server resources. Combining a nonce with a 162 creation timestamp has the advantage of allowing a server to limit the cache of nonces to a 163 164 "freshness" time period, establishing an upper bound on resource requirements. If either or both 165 of <wsse:Nonce> and <wsu:Created> are present they MUST be included in the digest value as follows: 166

167

```
168 Password_Digest = Base64 ( SHA-1 ( nonce + created + password ) )
```

169

That is, concatenate the nonce, creation timestamp, and the password (or shared secret or
password equivalent), digest the combination using the SHA-1 hash algorithm, then include the
Base64 encoding of that result as the password (digest). This helps obscure the password and
offers a basis for preventing replay attacks. For web service producers to effectively thwart replay
attacks, three counter measures are RECOMMENDED:

175

1761.It is RECOMMENDED that web service producers reject any UsernameToken not177using both nonce and creation timestamps.

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2005. All Rights Reserved.

14 June 2005

178 179 180 181	2.	It is RECOMMENDED that web service producers provide a timestamp "freshness" limitation, and that any UsernameToken with "stale" timestamps be rejected. As a guideline, a value of five minutes can be used as a minimum to detect, and thus reject, replays.		
182 183 184	3.	It is RECOMMENDED that used nonces be cached for a period at least as long as the timestamp freshness limitation period, above, and that UsernameToken with nonces that have already been used (and are thus in the cache) be rejected.		
185				
186 187 188	Note that the nonce is hashed using the octet sequence of its decoded value while the timestamp is hashed using the octet sequence of its UTF8 encoding as specified in the contents of the element.			
189				
190 191 102	Note that PasswordDigest can only be used if the plain text password (or password equivalent) is available to both the requestor and the recipient.			
192				
193 194 195 196 197 198 199 200 201	Note that the secret is put at the end of the input and not the front. This is because the output of SHA-1 is the function's complete state at the end of processing an input stream. If the input stream happened to fit neatly into the block size of the hash function, an attacker could extend the input with additional blocks and generate new/unique hash values knowing only the hash output for the original stream. If the secret is at the end of the stream, then attackers are prevented from arbitrarily extending it since they have to end the input stream with the password which they don't know. Similarly, if the nonce/created was put at the end, then an attacker could update the nonce to be nonce+created, and add a new created time on the end to generate a new hash.			
202				
203 204 205 206 207	The countermeasures above do not cover the case where the token is replayed to a different receiver. There are several (non-normative) possible approaches to counter this threat, which may be used separately or in combination. Their use requires pre-arrangement (possibly in the form of a separately published profile which introduces new password type) among the communicating parties to provide interoperability:			
208				
209 210		including the username in the hash, to thwart cases where multiple user accounts have matching passwords (e.g. passwords based on company name)		
211 212		including the domain name in the hash, to thwart cases where the same username/password is used in multiple systems		
213 214 215		including some indication of the intended receiver in the hash, to thwart cases where receiving systems don't share nonce caches (e.g., two separate application clusters in the same security domain).		
216				
217 218	The followin	g illustrates the XML syntax of this element:		
219 220 221 222 223 223 224		se:UsernameToken wsu:Id="Example-1"> <wsse:username> </wsse:username> <wsse:password type=""> </wsse:password> <wsse:nonce encodingtype=""> </wsse:nonce> <wsu:created> </wsu:created> sse:UsernameToken>		

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2005. All Rights Reserved.

225

- 226 The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:
- 227

228 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password

This optional element provides password information (or equivalent such as a hash). It is
 RECOMMENDED that this element only be passed when a secure transport (e.g.
 HTTP/S) is being used or if the token itself is being encrypted.

- 233 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password/@Type
- This optional URI attribute specifies the type of password being provided. The table below identifies the pre-defined types (note that the URI fragments are relative to the URI for this specification).
- 237

URI	Description
#PasswordText (default)	The actual password for the username, the password hash, or derived password or S/KEY. This type should be used when hashed password equivalents that do not rely on a nonce or creation time are used, or when a digest algorithm other than SHA1 is used.
#PasswordDigest	The digest of the password (and optionally nonce and/or creation timestame) for the username using the algorithm described above.

238

- 239 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password/@{any}
- This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

242

- 243 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Nonce
- 244This optional element specifies a cryptographically random nonce. Each message245including a <wsse:Nonce> element MUST use a new nonce value in order for web246service producers to detect replay attacks.
- 247248 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Nonce/@EncodingType
- 249This optional attribute URI specifies the encoding type of the nonce (see the definition of
<wsse:BinarySecurityToken> for valid values). If this attribute isn't specified then
the default of Base64 encoding is used.
- 253 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsu:Created
- The optional <wsu:Created> element specifies a timestamp used to indicate the creation time. It is defined as part of the <wsu:Timestamp> definition.

256

252

WSS: UsernameToken Profile	
Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2005. All Rights Reserved.	

257 258 259 260 261	All compliant implementations MUST be able to process the <wsse:usernametoken> element. Where the specification requires that an element be "processed" it means that the element type MUST be recognized to the extent that an appropriate error is returned if the element is not supported.</wsse:usernametoken>			
262 263	Note that <wsse:keyidentifier> and <ds:keyname> elements as described in the WSS: SOAP Message Security specification are not supported in this profile.</ds:keyname></wsse:keyidentifier>			
264 265 266	The following example illustrates the use of this element. In this example the password is sent as clear text and therefore this message should be sent over a confidential channel:			
267				
268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280		<s11:envelope xmlns:s11="" xmlns:wsse=""> <s11:header> <wsse:security> <wsse:usernametoken> <wsse:username>Zoe</wsse:username> <wsse:password>IloveDogs</wsse:password> </wsse:usernametoken> </wsse:security> </s11:header> </s11:envelope>		
281 282 283		owing example illustrates using a digest of the password along with a nonce and a timestamp:		
284				
285 286 287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 299 300		<pre><\$11:Envelope xmlns:S11="" xmlns:wsse="" xmlns:wsu= ""> <\$11:Header> <wsse:security> <wsse:usernametoken> <wsse:username>NNK</wsse:username> <wsse:username>NNK</wsse:username> <wsse:password type="#PasswordDigest"> weYI3nXd&LjMNVksCKFV&t3rgHh3Rw== </wsse:password> <wsse:nonce>WScqanjCEAC4mQoBE07sAQ==</wsse:nonce> <wsu:created>2003-07-16T01:24:32Z</wsu:created> </wsse:usernametoken> </wsse:security> </pre>		
301				

WSS: UsernameToken Profile Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2005. All Rights Reserved.

303 3.2 Token Reference

When a UsernameToken is referenced using <wsse:SecurityTokenReference> the
 ValueType attribute is not required. If specified, the value of #UsernameToken MUST be
 specified.

307

The following encoding formats are pre-defined (note that the URI fragments are relative to the

- 309 URI for this specification):
- 310

URI	Description
#UsernameToken	UsernameToken

311

When a UsernameToken is referenced from a <ds:KeyInfo> element, it can be used to derive a key for a message authentication algorithm using the password. This profile considers specific mechanisms for key derivation to be out of scope. Implementations should agree on a key

- 315 derivation algorithm in order to be interoperable.
- 316

There is no definition of a Keyldentifier for a UsernameToken. Consequently, Keyldentifier references MUST NOT used when referring to a UsernameToken.

319

320 Similarly, there is no definition of a KeyName for a UsernameToken. Consequently, KeyName 321 references MUST NOT be used when referring to a UsernameToken.

- 322
- 323 All references refer to the *wsu:Id* for the token.

324 3.3 Error Codes

Implementations may use custom error codes defined in private namespaces if needed. But it is
 RECOMMENDED that they use the error handling codes defined in the WSS: SOAP Message
 Security specification for signature, decryption, and encoding and token header errors to improve
 interoperability.

329

When using custom error codes, implementations should be careful not to introduce security
 vulnerabilities that may assist an attacker in the error codes returned.

332 4 Key Derivation

The password associated with a username may be used to derive a shared secret key for the purposes of integrity or confidentiality protecting message contents. This section defines schema extensions and a procedure for deriving such keys. This procedure MUST be employed when keys are to be derived from passwords in order in insure interoperability.

337

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2005. All Rights Reserved.

338 339 340 341 342 343	It must be noted that passwords are subject to several kinds of attack, which in turn will lead to the exposure of any derived keys. This key derivation procedure is intended to minimize the risk of attacks on the keys, to the extent possible, but it is ultimately limited by the insecurity of a password that it is possible for a human being to remember and type on a standard keyboard. This is discussed in more detail in the security considerations section of this document.		
344 345 346 347 348 349	Two additional elements are required to enable to derivation of a key from a password. They are <wssell:salt> and <wssell:iteration>. These values are not secret and MUST be conveyed in the Username token when key derivation is used. When key derivation is used the password MUST NOT be included in the Username token. The receiver will use its knowledge of the password to derive the same key as the sender.</wssell:iteration></wssell:salt>		
350 351	The following illustrates the syntax of the <wssell elements.<="" td=""><td>:Salt> and <wssel1:iteration></wssel1:iteration></td></wssell>	:Salt> and <wssel1:iteration></wssel1:iteration>	
352	<wsse:usernametoken wsse:id=""></wsse:usernametoken>		
353	<wsse:username><td></td></wsse:username>		
354	<pre><wssel1:salt></wssel1:salt></pre>		
355	<pre><wssell:juit <br="" wssell:juit=""><wssell:iteration></wssell:iteration></wssell:juit></pre>		
356			
357			
	The following describes these elements.		
358			
359	/wsse11:UsernameToken/wsse:Salt		
360 361	This element is combined with the passwor number expressed in hexadecimal. It MUS		
362			
363	/wsse11:UsernameToken/wsse11:Iteration		
364 365 366	This element indicates the number of times the hashing operation is repeated when deriving the key. It is expressed as a decimal value. If it is not present, a value is 1000 is used for the iteration count.		
367			
368 369 370 371 372 373 374	A key derived from a password may be used either in the calculation of a Message Authentication Code (MAC) or as a symmetric key for encryption. When used in a MAC, the key length will always be 160 bits. When used for encryption, an encryption algorithm MUST NOT be used which requires a key of length greater than 160 bits. A sufficient number of the high order bits of the key will be used for encryption. Unneeded low order bits will be discarded. For example, if the AES-128 algorithm is used, the high order 128 bits will be used and the low order 32 bits will be discarded from the derived 160 bit value.		
375			
376 377 378 379	The <wssell:salt> element is constructed as follows. The high order 8 bits of the Salt will have the value of 01 if the key is to be used in a MAC and 02 if the key is to be used for encryption. The remaining 120 low order bits of the Salt should be a random value.</wssell:salt>		
380 381 382 383	The key is derived as follows. The password and Salt are concatenated in that order. Only the actual octets of the password are used, it is not padded or zero terminated. This value is hashed using the SHA1 algorithm. The result of this operation is also hashed using SHA1. This process is repeated until the total number of hash operations equals the Iteration count.		
	WSS: UsernameToken Profile	14 June 2005	

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2005. All Rights Reserved.

385 In other words: K1 = SHA1(password + Salt)

387

388 Kn = SHA1 (Kn-1)

389 Where + means concatenation and n is the iteration count.

390

The resulting 160 bit value is used in a MAC function or truncated to the appropriate length for encryption.

5 Security Considerations

The use of the UsernameToken introduces no additional threats beyond those already identified
for other types of SecurityTokens. Replay attacks can be addressed by using message
timestamps, nonces, and caching, as well as other application-specific tracking mechanisms.
Token ownership is verified by use of keys and man-in-the-middle attacks are generally
mitigated. Transport-level security may be used to provide confidentiality and integrity of both the
UsernameToken and the entire message body.

When a password (or password equivalent) in a <UsernameToken> is used for authentication, the password needs to be properly protected. If the underlying transport does not provide enough protection against eavesdropping, the password SHOULD be digested as described in this document. Even so, the password must be strong enough so that simple password guessing attacks will not reveal the secret from a captured message.

406

407 When a password is encrypted, in addition to the normal threats against any encryption, two 408 password-specific threats must be considered: replay and guessing. If an attacker can 409 impersonate a user by replaying an encrypted or hashed password, then learning the actual 410 password is not necessary. One method of preventing replay is to use a nonce as mentioned 411 previously. Generally it is also necessary to use a timestamp to put a ceiling on the number of 412 previous nonces that must be stored. However, in order to be effective the nonce and timestamp 413 must be signed. If the signature is also over the password itself, prior to encryption, then it would 414 be a simple matter to use the signature to perform an offline guessing attack against the 415 password. This threat can be countered in any of several ways including: don't include the 416 password under the signature (the password will be verified later) or sign the encrypted

417 password.

418

The reader should also review Section 13 of WSS: SOAP Message Security document for additional discussion on threats and possible counter-measures.

421

The security of keys derived from passwords is limited by the attacks available against passwords themselves, such as guessing and brute force. Because of the limited size of password that human beings can remember and limited number of octet values represented by keys that can easily be typed, a typical password represents the equivalent of an entropy source of a maximum of only about 50 bits. For this reason a maximum key size of only 160 bits is supported. Longer keys would simply increase processing without adding to security.

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2005. All Rights Reserved.

14 June 2005

The key derivation algorithm specified here is based on one described in RFC 2898. It is referred to in that document as PBKDF1. It is used instead of PBKDF2, because it is simpler and keys

- 431 longer than 160 bits are not required as discussed previously.
- 432

The purpose of the salt is to prevent the bulk pre-computation of key values to be tested against distinct passwords. The Salt value is defined so that MAC and encryption keys are guaranteed to have distinct values even when derived from the same password. This prevents certain cryptanalytic attacks.

437

The iteration count is intended to increase the work factor of a guessing or brute force attack, at a
minor cost to normal key derivation. An iteration count of at least 1000 (the default) SHOULD
always be used.

441

442 This section is non-normative.

443 6 References

447RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997448[WSS]OASIS standard, "WSS: SOAP Message Security," TBD.449[SOAP11]W3C Note, "SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1," 08 May 2000.450[SOAP12]W3C Recommendation, "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging451Framework", 23 June 2003452[URI]T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers453(URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 3986, MIT/LCS, Day Software, Adobe454Systems, January 2005455[XML-Schema]456W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures,"2 May 2001.457[XPath]458W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language", 16 November 1999458The following are non-normative references included for background and related material:460[WS-Security]OASIS,"Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security" 19 January 2004, http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss- soap-message-security-1.0463[XML-C14N]W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001464[EXC-C14N]W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," July 2002.	444	The following are norm	native references:
447RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997448[WSS]OASIS standard, "WSS: SOAP Message Security," TBD.449[SOAP11]W3C Note, "SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1," 08 May 2000.450[SOAP12]W3C Recommendation, "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging451Framework", 23 June 2003452[URI]T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers453(URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 3986, MIT/LCS, Day Software, Adobe454Systems, January 2005455[XML-Schema]W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures,"2 May 2001.456[XPath]W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes," 2 May 2001.457[XPath]W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language", 16 November 1999458459The following are non-normative references included for background and related material:460[WS-Security]OASIS,"Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security" 19 January 2004, http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss- soap-message-security-1.0463[XML-C14N]W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001464[EXC-C14N]W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," July 2002.466[XML-Encrypt]W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 Marcl 2002468W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10 December 2002.	445	[SECGLO]	Informational RFC 2828, "Internet Security Glossary," May 2000.
449[SOAP11]W3C Note, "SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1," 08 May 2000.450[SOAP12]W3C Recommendation, "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework", 23 June 2003451T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 3986, MIT/LCS, Day Software, Adobe Systems, January 2005455[XML-Schema]W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures,"2 May 2001. W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes," 2 May 2001. W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language", 16 November 1999458The following are non-normative references included for background and related material: 2004, http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss- soap-message-security-1.0463[XML-C14N]W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," 15 March 2001464[EXC-C14N]W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 Marc 2002468W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10 December 2002.		[RFC2119]	S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997
 ISOAP12] W3C Recommendation, "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework", 23 June 2003 IURI] T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 3986, MIT/LCS, Day Software, Adobe Systems, January 2005 IXML-Schema] W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures,"2 May 2001. W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes," 2 May 2001. W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language", 16 November 1999 The following are non-normative references included for background and related material: IWS-Security] OASIS,"Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security" 19 January 2004, http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss- soap-message-security-1.0 IXML-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001 IEXC-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," July 2002. IXML-Encrypt] W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 Marci 2002 W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10 December 2002. 	448	[WSS]	OASIS standard, "WSS: SOAP Message Security," TBD.
 Framework", 23 June 2003 [URI] T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 3986, MIT/LCS, Day Software, Adobe Systems, January 2005 [XML-Schema] W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures,"2 May 2001. W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes," 2 May 2001. [XPath] W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language", 16 November 1999 The following are non-normative references included for background and related material: [WS-Security] OASIS,"Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security" 19 January 2004, http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-1.0 [XML-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001 [EXC-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," July 2002. [XML-Encrypt] W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 Marci 2002 W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10 December 2002. 	449	[SOAP11]	W3C Note, "SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1," 08 May 2000.
 453 (URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 3986, MIT/LCS, Day Software, Adobe Systems, January 2005 455 [XML-Schema] W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures,"2 May 2001. W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes," 2 May 2001. 457 [XPath] W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language", 16 November 1999 458 459 The following are non-normative references included for background and related material: 460 [WS-Security] OASIS,"Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security" 19 January 2004, http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss- soap-message-security-1.0 463 [XML-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001 464 [EXC-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," July 2002. 466 [XML-Encrypt] W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 Marci 2002 468 W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10 December 2002. 		[SOAP12]	
 W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes," 2 May 2001. W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language", 16 November 1999 The following are non-normative references included for background and related material: WS-Security] OASIS,"Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security" 19 January 2004, http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-1.0 [XML-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001 [EXC-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," July 2002. [XML-Encrypt] W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 March 2002 W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10 December 2002. 	453	[URI]	(URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 3986, MIT/LCS, Day Software, Adobe
 The following are non-normative references included for background and related material: [WS-Security] OASIS,"Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security" 19 January 2004, http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-1.0 [XML-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001 [EXC-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," July 2002. [XML-Encrypt] W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 Marcl 2002 W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10 December 2002. 		[XML-Schema]	W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures,"2 May 2001. W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes," 2 May 2001.
 460 [WS-Security] OASIS,"Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security" 19 January 2004, http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-1.0 463 [XML-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001 464 [EXC-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," July 2002. 466 [XML-Encrypt] W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 Marcl 2002 468 W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10 December 2002. 		[XPath]	W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language", 16 November 1999
 461 2004, http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss- soap-message-security-1.0 463 [XML-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001 464 [EXC-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," July 2002. 466 [XML-Encrypt] W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 Marcl 2002 468 W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10 December 2002. 	459	The following are non-	normative references included for background and related material:
 464 [EXC-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," July 2002. 466 [XML-Encrypt] W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 Marcl 2002 468 W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10 December 2002. 	461	[WS-Security]	2004, http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-
465July 2002.466[XML-Encrypt]W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 March4672002468W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10469December 2002.	463	[XML-C14N]	W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001
4672002468W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10469December 2002.		[EXC-C14N]	W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," 8 July 2002.
469 December 2002.		[XML-Encrypt]	W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 March 2002
470 [XML-ns] W3C Recommendation, "Namespaces in XML," 14 January 1999.			
	470	[XML-ns]	W3C Recommendation, "Namespaces in XML," 14 January 1999.

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

14 June 2005

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2005. All Rights Reserved.

471	[XML Signature]	D. Eastlake, J. R., D. Solo, M. Bartel, J. Boyer, B. Fox, E. Simon. XML-
472		Signature Syntax and Processing, W3C Recommendation, 12 February
473		2002. http://www.w3.org/TR/xmldsig-core/
474		

475 Appendix A. Acknowledgements

476 **Contributors:**

contributors.		
Gene	Thurston	AmberPoint
Frank	Siebenlist	Argonne National Lab
Merlin	Hughes	Baltimore Technologies
Irving	Reid	Baltimore Technologies
Peter	Dapkus	BEA
Hal	Lockhart	BEA
Steve	Anderson	BMC (Sec)
Srinivas	Davanum	Computer Associates
Thomas	DeMartini	ContentGuard
Guillermo	Lao	ContentGuard
TJ	Pannu	ContentGuard
Shawn	Sharp	Cyclone Commerce
Ganesh	Vaideeswaran	Documentum
Sam	Wei	Documentum
John	Hughes	Entegrity
Tim	Moses	Entrust
Toshihiro	Nishimura	Fujitsu
Tom	Rutt	Fujitsu
Yutaka	Kudo	Hitachi
Jason	Rouault	HP
Paula	Austel	IBM
Bob	Blakley	IBM
Joel	Farrell	IBM
Satoshi	Hada	IBM
Maryann	Hondo	IBM
Michael	McIntosh	IBM
Hiroshi	Maruyama	IBM
David	Melgar	IBM
Anthony	Nadalin	IBM
Nataraj	Nagaratnam	IBM
Wayne	Vicknair	IBM
Kelvin	Lawrence	IBM (co-Chair)
Don	Flinn	Individual
Bob	Morgan	Individual
Bob	Atkinson	Microsoft
Keith	Ballinger	Microsoft
Allen	Brown	Microsoft
Paul	Cotton	Microsoft
Giovanni	Della-Libera	Microsoft
Vijay	Gajjala	Microsoft
Johannes	Klein	Microsoft
Scott	Konersmann	Microsoft
Chris	Kurt	Microsoft
Brian	LaMacchia	Microsoft
Paul	Leach	Microsoft

WSS: UsernameToken Profile

Copyright © OASIS Open 2002-2005. All Rights Reserved.

John	Manferdelli	Microsoft
John	Shewchuk	Microsoft
Dan	Simon	Microsoft
Hervey	Wilson	Microsoft
Chris	Kaler	Microsoft (co-Chair)
Prateek	Mishra	Netegrity
Frederick	Hirsch	Nokia
Senthil	Sengodan	Nokia
Lloyd	Burch	Novell
Ed	Reed	Novell
Charles	Knouse	Oblix
Vipin	Samar	Oracle
Jerry	Schwarz	Oracle
Eric	Gravengaard	Reactivity
Stuart	King	Reed Elsevier
Andrew	Nash	RSA Security
Rob	Philpott	RSA Security
Peter	Rostin	RSA Security
Martijn	de Boer	SAP
Blake	Dournaee	Sarvega
Pete	Wenzel	SeeBeyond
Jonathan	Tourzan	Sony
Yassir	Elley	Sun Microsystems
Jeff	Hodges	Sun Microsystems
Ronald	Monzillo	Sun Microsystems
Jan	Alexander	Systinet
Michael	Nguyen	The IDA of Singapore
Don	Adams	TIBCO
Symon	Chang	TIBCO
John	Weiland	US Navy
Phillip	Hallam-Baker	VeriSign
Mark	Hays	Verisign
Hemma	Prafullchandra	VeriSign

478	Appendix B.	Revision	History
-----	-------------	----------	---------

Rev	Date	By Whom	What
WGD 1.1	2004-09-13	Anthony Nadalin	Initial version cloned from the Version 1.0 and Errata
WGD 1.1	2005-05-11	Anthony Nadalin	Issue 373, 388
WGD 1.1	2005-05-17	Anthony Nadalin	Formatting Issues
WGD 1.1	2005-06-14	Anthony Nadalin	Fix Example