Web Services Security UsernameToken Profile 1.1

Commitee Draft - Tuesday, 14 June 2005

OASIS Identifier:

{WSS: SOAP Message Security }-{UsernameToken Profile }-{1.0} (Word) (PDF)

Location:

http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2005/xx/oasis-2005xx-wss-username-token-profile-1.1

Errata Location:

http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tc_home.php?wg_abbrev=wss

Technical Commitee:

Web Service Security (WSS)

Chairs:

Kelvin Lawrence, IBM
Chris Kaler, Microsoft

Editors:

Anthony Nadalin, IBM
Phil Griffin, Individual
Chris Kaler, Microsoft
Ronald Monzillo, Sun
Phillip Hallam-Baker, Verisign

Abstract:

This document describes how to use the UsernameToken with the Web Services Security (WSS) specification.

Status:

This is a technical committee document submitted for consideration by the OASIS Web Services Security (WSS) technical committee. Please send comments to the editors.

If you are on the wss@lists.oasis-open.org list for committee members, send comments there. If you are not on that list, subscribe to the wss-comment@lists.oasis-open.org list and send comments there. To subscribe, send an email message to wss-comment-request@lists.oasis-open.org with the word "subscribe" as the body of the message.

For patent disclosure information that may be essential to the implementation of this specification, and any offers of licensing terms, refer to the Intellectual Property Rights section of the OASIS Web Services Security Technical Committee (WSS TC) web page

Style Definition: Heading 1: Font color: Auto
Style Definition: Heading 2,H2: Font color: Auto
Style Definition: Heading 3,H3: Font color: Auto, Outline numbered + Level: 3 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, … + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 0" + Tab after: 0.5" + Indent at: 0.5"
Style Definition: Heading 4,H4: Font color: Auto
Style Definition: Heading 5: Font color: Auto
Style Definition: Heading 6: Font color: Auto
Style Definition: Heading 7: Font color: Auto
Style Definition: Heading 8: Font color: Auto
Style Definition: Heading 9: Font color: Auto
Style Definition: Ref: Font: Not Italic, Font color: Black, Indent: Hanging: 1.25"
Style Definition: AppendixHeading1: Font color: Auto
Style Definition: Char Char1: Font color: Auto
Style Definition: H2 Char Char: Font color: Auto
Deleted: 0
Deleted: OASIS Standard 200401, March 2004¶ **Document identifier:**¶
Formatted: Font color: Auto
Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 1"
Field Code Changed
Formatted: Font color: Auto
Field Code Changed
Formatted: Font color: Auto
Deleted: Document Location:¶ http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0¶
Formatted: Italian (Italy)
Formatted: Font: Font color: Auto
Field Code Changed
Formatted: Title page info
Formatted … [1]
Deleted: Anthony … [2]

at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/wss/ipr.php. General OASIS IPR information can be found at http://www.oasis-open.org/who/intellectualproperty.shtml.

Deleted: 15 March 2004

Deleted: 2004.

Notices

OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on OASIS's procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.

Deleted: 15 March 2004

Deleted: 2004.

Table of Contents

Deleted: 5
Formatted ... [3]
Field Code Changed ... [4]
Field Code Changed ... [5]
Deleted: 5
Formatted ... [6]
Field Code Changed ... [7]
Deleted: 5
Formatted ... [8]
Deleted: 5
Field Code Changed ... [9]
Formatted ... [10]
Deleted: 6
Formatted ... [11]
Field Code Changed ... [12]
Field Code Changed ... [13]
Deleted: 7
Formatted ... [14]
Field Code Changed ... [15]
Deleted: 7
Formatted ... [16]
Field Code Changed ... [17]
Deleted: 10
Formatted ... [18]
Field Code Changed ... [19]
Deleted: 11
Formatted ... [20]
Field Code Changed ... [21]
Deleted: 4 Security Considerations
Field Code Changed ... [22]
Deleted: 11
Formatted ... [23]
Field Code Changed ... [24]
Deleted: 5 References
Field Code Changed ... [25]
Deleted: 13
Formatted ... [26]
Field Code Changed ... [27]
Deleted: Appendix A. Revisi ... [28]
Field Code Changed ... [29]
Deleted: 14
Formatted ... [30]
Field Code Changed ... [31]
Deleted: B. Notices
Field Code Changed ... [32]
Deleted: 15
Formatted ... [33]
Deleted: 15 March 2004
Deleted: 2004.

1 Introduction

This document describes how to use the UsernameToken with the WSS: SOAP Message Security specification [WSS]. More specifically, it describes how a web service consumer can supply a UsernameToken as a means of identifying the requestor by “username”, and optionally using a password (or shared secret, or password equivalent) to authenticate that identity to the web service producer.

This section is non-normative. Note that Sections 2.1, 2.2, all of 3, 4 and indicated parts of 6 are normative. All other sections are non-normative.

2 Notations and Terminology

This section specifies the notations, namespaces, and terminology used in this specification.

2.1 Notational Conventions

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119].

When describing abstract data models, this specification uses the notational convention used by the XML Infoset. Specifically, abstract property names always appear in square brackets (e.g., [some property]).

When describing concrete XML schemas [XML-Schema], this specification uses the notational convention of WSS: SOAP Message Security. Specifically, each member of an element’s [children] or [attributes] property is described using an XPath-like [XPath] notation (e.g., /x:MyHeader/x:SomeProperty/@value1). The use of {any} indicates the presence of an element wildcard (`<xs:any/>`). The use of @{any} indicates the presence of an attribute wildcard (`<xs:anyAttribute/>`).

Commonly used security terms are defined in the Internet Security Glossary [SECGLO]. Readers are presumed to be familiar with the terms in this glossary as well as the definition in the Web Services Security specification.

2.2 Namespaces

Namespace URIs (of the general form "some-URI") represents some application-dependent or context-dependent URI as defined in RFC 3986 [URI]. This specification is designed to work with the general SOAP [SOAP11, SOAP12] message structure and message processing model, and should be applicable to any version of SOAP. The current SOAP 1.1 namespace URI is used herein to provide detailed examples, but there is no intention to limit the applicability of this specification to a single version of SOAP.

Formatted: (Asian) Japanese

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font

Deleted: 2396

Deleted: 15 March 2004

Deleted: 2004.

The namespaces used in this document are shown in the following table (note that for brevity, the examples use the prefixes listed below but do not include the URIs – those listed below are assumed).

Prefix	Namespace
S11	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/
S12	http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope
wsse	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd
wsse11	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2005/xx/oasis-2005xx-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.1.xsd
wsu	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd

Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted Table
Field Code Changed
Deleted: http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd
Deleted: wsu
Deleted: http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd

The URLs provided for the *wsse* and *wsu* namespaces can be used to obtain the schema files. URI fragments defined in this specification are relative to a base URI of the following unless otherwise stated:

`http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0`

The following table lists the full URI for each URI fragment referred to in this specification.

URI Fragment	Full URI
#PasswordDigest	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0#PasswordDigest
#PasswordText	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0#PasswordText
#UsernameToken	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0 #UsernameToken

2.3 Acronyms and Abbreviations

The following (non-normative) table defines acronyms and abbreviations for this document.

Term	Definition
SHA	Secure Hash Algorithm
SOAP	Simple Object Access Protocol
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier

Formatted Table
Deleted: UCS ... [34]
Deleted: 15 March 2004
Deleted: 2004.

XML	Extensible Markup Language

3 UsernameToken Extensions

3.1 Usernames and Passwords

The <wsse:UsernameToken> element is introduced in the WSS: SOAP Message Security documents as a way of providing a username.

Within <wsse:UsernameToken> element, a <wsse:Password> element may be specified. Passwords of type PasswordText and PasswordDigest are not limited to actual passwords, although this is a common case. Any password equivalent such as a derived password or S/KEY (one time password) can be used. Having a type of PasswordText merely implies that the information held in the password is "in the clear", as opposed to holding a "digest" of the information. For example, if a server does not have access to the clear text of a password but does have the hash, then the hash is considered a *password equivalent* and can be used anywhere where a "password" is indicated in this specification. It is not the intention of this specification to require that all implementations have access to clear text passwords.

Deleted: wsse:

Deleted: wsse:

Formatted: ElementDesc

Deleted: wsse:

Deleted: , wsse:PasswordDigest

Passwords of type PasswordDigest are defined as being the Base64 [XML-Schema] encoded, SHA-1 hash value, of the UTF8 encoded password (or equivalent). However, unless this digested password is sent on a secured channel or the token is encrypted, the digest offers no real additional security over use of wsse:PasswordText

Deleted: wsse:PasswordText and wsse:

Deleted: and wsse:PasswordDigest

Two optional elements are introduced in the <wsse:UsernameToken> element to provide a countermeasure for replay attacks: <wsse:Nonce> and <wsu:Created>. A nonce is a random value that the sender creates to include in each UsernameToken that it sends. Although using a nonce is an effective countermeasure against replay attacks, it requires a server to maintain a cache of used nonces, consuming server resources. Combining a nonce with a creation timestamp has the advantage of allowing a server to limit the cache of nonces to a "freshness" time period, establishing an upper bound on resource requirements. If either or both of <wsse:Nonce> and <wsu:Created> are present they MUST be included in the digest value as follows:

Password_Digest = Base64 (SHA-1 (nonce + created + password))

That is, concatenate the nonce, creation timestamp, and the password (or shared secret or password equivalent), digest the combination using the SHA-1 hash algorithm, then include the Base64 encoding of that result as the password (digest). This helps obscure the password and offers a basis for preventing replay attacks. For web service producers to effectively thwart replay attacks, three counter measures are RECOMMENDED:

1. It is RECOMMENDED that web service producers reject any UsernameToken *not* using *both* nonce *and* creation timestamps.

Deleted: 15 March 2004

Deleted: 2004.

2. It is RECOMMENDED that web service producers provide a timestamp “freshness” limitation, and that any UsernameToken with “stale” timestamps be rejected. As a guideline, a value of five minutes can be used as a minimum to detect, and thus reject, replays.
3. It is RECOMMENDED that used nonces be cached for a period at least as long as the timestamp freshness limitation period, above, and that UsernameToken with nonces that have already been used (and are thus in the cache) be rejected.

Note that the nonce is hashed using the octet sequence of its decoded value while the timestamp is hashed using the octet sequence of its UTF8 encoding as specified in the contents of the element.

Note that `PasswordDigest` can only be used if the plain text password (or password equivalent) is available to both the requestor and the recipient.

Deleted: wsse:

Note that the secret is put at the end of the input and not the front. This is because the output of SHA-1 is the function's complete state at the end of processing an input stream. If the input stream happened to fit neatly into the block size of the hash function, an attacker could extend the input with additional blocks and generate new/unique hash values knowing only the hash output for the original stream. If the secret is at the end of the stream, then attackers are prevented from arbitrarily extending it -- since they have to end the input stream with the password which they don't know. Similarly, if the nonce/created was put at the end, then an attacker could update the nonce to be nonce+created, and add a new created time on the end to generate a new hash.

The countermeasures above do not cover the case where the token is replayed to a different receiver. There are several (non-normative) possible approaches to counter this threat, which may be used separately or in combination. Their use requires pre-arrangement (possibly in the form of a separately published profile which introduces new password type) among the communicating parties to provide interoperability:

- including the username in the hash, to thwart cases where multiple user accounts have matching passwords (e.g. passwords based on company name)
- including the domain name in the hash, to thwart cases where the same username/password is used in multiple systems
- including some indication of the intended receiver in the hash, to thwart cases where receiving systems don't share nonce caches (e.g., two separate application clusters in the same security domain).

The following illustrates the XML syntax of this element:

```
<wsse:UsernameToken wsu:Id="Example-1">
   <wsse:Username> ... </wsse:Username>
   <wsse:Password Type="..."> ... </wsse:Password>
   <wsse:Nonce EncodingType="..."> ... </wsse:Nonce>
   <wsu:Created> ... </wsu:Created>
</wsse:UsernameToken>
```

Deleted: 15 March 2004

Deleted: 2004.

The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

/wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password

This optional element provides password information (or equivalent such as a hash). It is RECOMMENDED that this element only be passed when a secure transport (e.g. HTTP/S) is being used or if the token itself is being encrypted.

/wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password/@Type

This optional URI attribute specifies the type of password being provided. The table below identifies the pre-defined types (note that the URI fragments are relative to the URI for this specification).

URI	Description
#PasswordText (default)	The actual password for the username, the password hash, or derived password or S/KEY. This type should be used when hashed password equivalents that do not rely on a nonce or creation time are used, or when a digest algorithm other than SHA1 is used.
#PasswordDigest	The digest of the password (and optionally nonce and/or creation timestame) for the username using the algorithm described above.

/wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password/@{any}

This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be added to the element.

/wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Nonce

This optional element specifies a cryptographically random nonce. Each message including a `<wsse:Nonce>` element MUST use a new nonce value in order for web service producers to detect replay attacks.

/wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Nonce/@EncodingType

This optional attribute URI specifies the encoding type of the nonce (see the definition of `<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>` for valid values). If this attribute isn't specified then the default of Base64 encoding is used.

/wsse:UsernameToken/wsu:Created

The optional `<wsu:Created>` element specifies a timestamp used to indicate the creation time. It is defined as part of the `<wsu:Timestamp>` definition.

Formatted: Font: Not Italic
Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted Table
Deleted: ¶
Deleted: ¶
Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted: (Asian) Japanese
Formatted: (Asian) Japanese
Deleted: 15 March 2004
Deleted: 2004.

All compliant implementations MUST be able to process the <wsse:UsernameToken> element. Where the specification requires that an element be "processed" it means that the element type MUST be recognized to the extent that an appropriate error is returned if the element is not supported.

Note that <wsse:KeyIdentifier> and <ds:KeyName> elements as described in the WSS: SOAP Message Security specification are not supported in this profile.

The following example illustrates the use of this element. In this example the password is sent as clear text and therefore this message should be sent over a confidential channel:

```
<S11:Envelope xmlns:S11="..." xmlns:wsse="...">
   <S11:Header>
      ...
      <wsse:Security>
         <wsse:UsernameToken>
            <wsse:Username>Zoe</wsse:Username>
            <wsse:Password>IloveDogs</wsse:Password>
         </wsse:UsernameToken>
      </wsse:Security>
      ...
   </S11:Header>
   ...
</S11:Envelope>
```

The following example illustrates using a digest of the password along with a nonce and a creation timestamp:

```
<S11:Envelope xmlns:S11="..." xmlns:wsse="..." xmlns:wsu= "...">
   <S11:Header>
      ...
      <wsse:Security>
         <wsse:UsernameToken>
            <wsse:Username>NNK</wsse:Username>
            <wsse:Password Type="...#PasswordDigest">
               weYI3nXd8LjMNVksCKFV8t3rgHh3Rw==
            </wsse:Password>
            <wsse:Nonce>WScqanjCEAC4mQoBE07sAQ==</wsse:Nonce>
            <wsu:Created>2003-07-16T01:24:32Z</wsu:Created>
         </wsse:UsernameToken>
      </wsse:Security>
      ...
   </S11:Header>
   ...
</S11:Envelope>
```

Formatted: (Asian) Japanese

Formatted: German (Germany)

Formatted: German (Germany)

Formatted: German (Germany)

Formatted: German (Germany)

Formatted: German (Germany)

Formatted: German (Germany)

Formatted: German (Germany)

Formatted: German (Germany)

Formatted: German (Germany)

Formatted: Font: Not Bold

Formatted: German (Germany)

Formatted: German (Germany)

Formatted: German (Germany)

Formatted: German (Germany)

Formatted: German (Germany)

Deleted: 15 March 2004

Deleted: 2004.

3.2 Token Reference

When a UsernameToken is referenced using `<wsse:SecurityTokenReference>` the `ValueType` attribute is not required. If specified, the value of `#UsernameToken` MUST be specified.

The following encoding formats are pre-defined (note that the URI fragments are relative to the URI for this specification):

URI	Description
#UsernameToken	UsernameToken

When a UsernameToken is referenced from a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element, it can be used to derive a key for a message authentication algorithm using the password. This profile considers specific mechanisms for key derivation to be out of scope. Implementations should agree on a key derivation algorithm in order to be interoperable.

There is no definition of a KeyIdentifier for a UsernameToken. Consequently, KeyIdentifier references MUST NOT used when referring to a UsernameToken.

Similarly, there is no definition of a KeyName for a UsernameToken. Consequently, KeyName references MUST NOT be used when referring to a UsernameToken.

All references refer to the *wsu:Id* for the token.

3.3 Error Codes

Implementations may use custom error codes defined in private namespaces if needed. But it is RECOMMENDED that they use the error handling codes defined in the WSS: SOAP Message Security specification for signature, decryption, and encoding and token header errors to improve interoperability.

When using custom error codes, implementations should be careful not to introduce security vulnerabilities that may assist an attacker in the error codes returned.

4 Key Derivation

The password associated with a username may be used to derive a shared secret key for the purposes of integrity or confidentiality protecting message contents. This section defines schema extensions and a procedure for deriving such keys. This procedure MUST be employed when keys are to be derived from passwords in order in insure interoperability.

Deleted: <wsse:
Deleted: >
Formatted: Font: Bold
Formatted Table
Deleted: ¶
Deleted:
Deleted: 15 March 2004
Deleted: 2004.

It must be noted that passwords are subject to several kinds of attack, which in turn will lead to the exposure of any derived keys. This key derivation procedure is intended to minimize the risk of attacks on the keys, to the extent possible, but it is ultimately limited by the insecurity of a password that it is possible for a human being to remember and type on a standard keyboard. This is discussed in more detail in the security considerations section of this document.

Two additional elements are required to enable to derivation of a key from a password. They are `<wsse11:Salt>` and `<wsse11:Iteration>`. These values are not secret and MUST be conveyed in the Username token when key derivation is used. When key derivation is used the password MUST NOT be included in the Username token. The receiver will use its knowledge of the password to derive the same key as the sender.

The following illustrates the syntax of the `<wsse11:Salt>` and `<wsse11:Iteration>` elements.

```
<wsse:UsernameToken wsse:Id="…">
    <wsse:Username>…</wsse:Username>
    <wsse11:Salt>…</wsse11:Salt>
    <wsse11:Iteration>…</wsse11:Iteration>
</wsse:UsernameToken>
```

The following describes these elements.

/wsse11:UsernameToken/wsse:Salt

This element is combined with the password as described below. Its value is a 128 bit number expressed in hexadecimal. It MUST be present when key derivation is used.

/wsse11:UsernameToken/wsse11:Iteration

This element indicates the number of times the hashing operation is repeated when deriving the key. It is expressed as a decimal value. If it is not present, a value is 1000 is used for the iteration count.

A key derived from a password may be used either in the calculation of a Message Authentication Code (MAC) or as a symmetric key for encryption. When used in a MAC, the key length will always be 160 bits. When used for encryption, an encryption algorithm MUST NOT be used which requires a key of length greater than 160 bits. A sufficient number of the high order bits of the key will be used for encryption. Unneeded low order bits will be discarded. For example, if the AES-128 algorithm is used, the high order 128 bits will be used and the low order 32 bits will be discarded from the derived 160 bit value.

The `<wsse11:Salt>` element is constructed as follows. The high order 8 bits of the Salt will have the value of 01 if the key is to be used in a MAC and 02 if the key is to be used for encryption. The remaining 120 low order bits of the Salt should be a random value.

The key is derived as follows. The password and Salt are concatenated in that order. Only the actual octets of the password are used, it is not padded or zero terminated. This value is hashed using the SHA1 algorithm. The result of this operation is also hashed using SHA1. This process is repeated until the total number of hash operations equals the Iteration count.

Deleted: 15 March 2004

Deleted: 2004.

In other words: K1 = SHA1(password + Salt)

K2 = SHA1(K1)

…

Kn = SHA1 (Kn-1)

Where + means concatenation and n is the iteration count.

The resulting 160 bit value is used in a MAC function or truncated to the appropriate length for encryption.

5 Security Considerations

The use of the UsernameToken introduces no additional threats beyond those already identified for other types of SecurityTokens. Replay attacks can be addressed by using message timestamps, nonces, and caching, as well as other application-specific tracking mechanisms. Token ownership is verified by use of keys and man-in-the-middle attacks are generally mitigated. Transport-level security may be used to provide confidentiality and integrity of both the UsernameToken and the entire message body.

When a password (or password equivalent) in a `<UsernameToken>` is used for authentication, the password needs to be properly protected. If the underlying transport does not provide enough protection against eavesdropping, the password SHOULD be digested as described in this document. Even so, the password must be strong enough so that simple password guessing attacks will not reveal the secret from a captured message.

When a password is encrypted, in addition to the normal threats against any encryption, two password-specific threats must be considered: replay and guessing. If an attacker can impersonate a user by replaying an encrypted or hashed password, then learning the actual password is not necessary. One method of preventing replay is to use a nonce as mentioned previously. Generally it is also necessary to use a timestamp to put a ceiling on the number of previous nonces that must be stored. However, in order to be effective the nonce and timestamp must be signed. If the signature is also over the password itself, prior to encryption, then it would be a simple matter to use the signature to perform an offline guessing attack against the password. This threat can be countered in any of several ways including: don't include the password under the signature (the password will be verified later) or sign the encrypted password.

The reader should also review Section 13 of WSS: SOAP Message Security document for additional discussion on threats and possible counter-measures.

The security of keys derived from passwords is limited by the attacks available against passwords themselves, such as guessing and brute force. Because of the limited size of password that human beings can remember and limited number of octet values represented by keys that can easily be typed, a typical password represents the equivalent of an entropy source of a maximum of only about 50 bits. For this reason a maximum key size of only 160 bits is supported. Longer keys would simply increase processing without adding to security.

Deleted: 15 March 2004

Deleted: 2004.

The key derivation algorithm specified here is based on one described in RFC 2898. It is referred to in that document as PBKDF1. It is used instead of PBKDF2, because it is simpler and keys longer than 160 bits are not required as discussed previously.

The purpose of the salt is to prevent the bulk pre-computation of key values to be tested against distinct passwords. The Salt value is defined so that MAC and encryption keys are guaranteed to have distinct values even when derived from the same password. This prevents certain cryptanalytic attacks.

The iteration count is intended to increase the work factor of a guessing or brute force attack, at a minor cost to normal key derivation. An iteration count of at least 1000 (the default) SHOULD always be used.

This section is non-normative.

6 References

The following are normative references:

[SECGLO] Informational RFC 2828, "Internet Security Glossary," May 2000.

[RFC2119] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997

[WSS] OASIS standard, "WSS: SOAP Message Security," TBD.

[SOAP11] W3C Note, "SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1," 08 May 2000.

[SOAP12] W3C Recommendation, "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework", 23 June 2003

[URI] T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 3986, MIT/LCS, Day Software, Adobe Systems, January 2005.

[XML-Schema] W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures,"2 May 2001.
W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes," 2 May 2001.

[XPath] W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language", 16 November 1999

The following are non-normative references included for background and related material:

[WS-Security] OASIS,"Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security" 19 January 2004, http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-soap-message-security-1.0

[XML-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001

[EXC-C14N] W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," 8 July 2002.

[XML-Encrypt] W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 March 2002

W3C Recommendation, “Decryption Transform for XML Signature”, 10 December 2002.

[XML-ns] W3C Recommendation, "Namespaces in XML," 14 January 1999.

Deleted: 5

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Default Paragraph Font, Font color: Auto, (Asian) Japanese

Deleted: Working Draft**, “**

Formatted: Font color: Auto, (Asian) Japanese, (Other) English

Deleted: ”, 26

Formatted: Font color: Auto, (Asian) Japanese, (Other) English

Deleted: 2002.¶

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto, (Asian) Japanese

Deleted: RFC 2396

Formatted: Font color: Auto, (Asian) Japanese

Deleted: U.C. Irvine, Xerox Corporation, August 1998

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: 15 March 2004

Deleted: 2004.

[XML Signature] D. Eastlake, J. R., D. Solo, M. Bartel, J. Boyer , B. Fox , E. Simon. *XML-Signature Syntax and Processing*, W3C Recommendation, 12 February 2002. http://www.w3.org/TR/xmldsig-core/

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Deleted: "

Deleted: ," 12 February 2002.¶
[XPointer] "XML Pointer Language (XPointer) Version 1.0, Candidate Recommendation", DeRose, Maler, Daniel, 11 September 2001.¶
¶

Formatted: Font color: Auto

Field Code Changed

Deleted: XML Signature Syntax and Processing

Deleted: 15 March 2004

Deleted: 2004.

Appendix A. Acknowledgements

Contributors:

Gene	Thurston	AmberPoint
Frank	Siebenlist	Argonne National Lab
Merlin	Hughes	Baltimore Technologies
Irving	Reid	Baltimore Technologies
Peter	Dapkus	BEA
Hal	Lockhart	BEA
Steve	Anderson	BMC (Sec)
Srinivas	Davanum	Computer Associates
Thomas	DeMartini	ContentGuard
Guillermo	Lao	ContentGuard
TJ	Pannu	ContentGuard
Shawn	Sharp	Cyclone Commerce
Ganesh	Vaideeswaran	Documentum
Sam	Wei	Documentum
John	Hughes	Entegrity
Tim	Moses	Entrust
Toshihiro	Nishimura	Fujitsu
Tom	Rutt	Fujitsu
Yutaka	Kudo	Hitachi
Jason	Rouault	HP
Paula	Austel	IBM
Bob	Blakley	IBM
Joel	Farrell	IBM
Satoshi	Hada	IBM
Maryann	Hondo	IBM
Michael	McIntosh	IBM
Hiroshi	Maruyama	IBM
David	Melgar	IBM
Anthony	Nadalin	IBM
Nataraj	Nagaratnam	IBM
Wayne	Vicknair	IBM
Kelvin	Lawrence	IBM (co-Chair)
Don	Flinn	Individual
Bob	Morgan	Individual
Bob	Atkinson	Microsoft
Keith	Ballinger	Microsoft
Allen	Brown	Microsoft
Paul	Cotton	Microsoft
Giovanni	Della-Libera	Microsoft
Vijay	Gajjala	Microsoft
Johannes	Klein	Microsoft
Scott	Konersmann	Microsoft
Chris	Kurt	Microsoft
Brian	LaMacchia	Microsoft
Paul	Leach	Microsoft

Deleted: 15 March 2004

Deleted: 2004.

John	Manferdelli	Microsoft
John	Shewchuk	Microsoft
Dan	Simon	Microsoft
Hervey	Wilson	Microsoft
Chris	Kaler	Microsoft (co-Chair)
Prateek	Mishra	Netegrity
Frederick	Hirsch	Nokia
Senthil	Sengodan	Nokia
Lloyd	Burch	Novell
Ed	Reed	Novell
Charles	Knouse	Oblix
Vipin	Samar	Oracle
Jerry	Schwarz	Oracle
Eric	Gravengaard	Reactivity
Stuart	King	Reed Elsevier
Andrew	Nash	RSA Security
Rob	Philpott	RSA Security
Peter	Rostin	RSA Security
Martijn	de Boer	SAP
Blake	Dournaee	Sarvega
Pete	Wenzel	SeeBeyond
Jonathan	Tourzan	Sony
Yassir	Elley	Sun Microsystems
Jeff	Hodges	Sun Microsystems
Ronald	Monzillo	Sun Microsystems
Jan	Alexander	Systinet
Michael	Nguyen	The IDA of Singapore
Don	Adams	TIBCO
Symon	Chang	TIBCO
John	Weiland	US Navy
Phillip	Hallam-Baker	VeriSign
Mark	Hays	Verisign
Hemma	Prafullchandra	VeriSign

478

Deleted: 15 March 2004

Deleted: 2004.

Appendix B. Revision History

Rev	Date	By Whom	What
WGD 1.1	2004-09-13	Anthony Nadalin	Initial version cloned from the Version 1.0 and Errata
WGD 1.1	2005-05-11	Anthony Nadalin	Issue 373, 388
WGD 1.1	2005-05-17	Anthony Nadalin	Formatting Issues
WGD 1.1	2005-06-14	Anthony Nadalin	Fix Example

Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted Table

Deleted: Wd-

Deleted: 0

Deleted: 2002-12-16

Deleted: Phil Griffin

Deleted: WSS core specification

Deleted: Wd-

Deleted: 2003-01-26

Deleted: Bring in line with WSS-Core Update

Deleted: Wd-

Deleted: 2

Deleted: 2003-02-23

Deleted: Editorial Updates

Deleted: Wd-

Deleted: 3

Deleted: 2003

Deleted: 30

Deleted: Editorial Updates

Deleted: Wd-1.4 ... [35]

Formatted: AppendixHeading1

Deleted: 15 March 2004

Deleted: 2004.

480

Deleted: 15 March 2004
Deleted: 2004.

481

Deleted: 15 March 2004
Deleted: 2004.

Page 1: [1] Formatted **Anthony Nadalin** **6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM**

Default Paragraph Font, Font color: Indigo, (Asian) Japanese, (Other) English (U.S.)

Page 1: [2] Deleted **Anthony Nadalin** **6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM**

Anthony	Nadalin	IBM
Phil	Griffin	Individual
Chris	Kaler	Microsoft
Phillip	Hallam-Baker	VeriSign
Ronald	Monzillo	Sun

Contributors:

Gene	Thurston	AmberPoint
Frank	Siebenlist	Argonne National Lab
Merlin	Hughes	Baltimore Technologies
Irving	Reid	Baltimore Technologies
Peter	Dapkus	BEA
Hal	Lockhart	BEA
Symon	Chang	CommerceOne
Srinivas	Davanum	Computer Associates
Thomas	DeMartini	ContentGuard
Guillermo	Lao	ContentGuard
TJ	Pannu	ContentGuard
Shawn	Sharp	Cyclone Commerce
Ganesh	Vaideeswaran	Documentum
Sam	Wei	Documentum
John	Hughes	Entegrity
Tim	Moses	Entrust
Toshihiro	Nishimura	Fujitsu
Tom	Rutt	Fujitsu
Yutaka	Kudo	Hitachi
Jason	Rouault	HP
Paula	Austel	IBM
Bob	Blakley	IBM
Joel	Farrell	IBM
Satoshi	Hada	IBM
Maryann	Hondo	IBM

Michael	McIntosh	IBM
Hiroshi	Maruyama	IBM
David	Melgar	IBM
Anthony	Nadalin	IBM
Nataraj	Nagaratnam	IBM
Wayne	Vicknair	IBM
Kelvin	Lawrence	IBM (co-Chair)
Don	Flinn	Individual
Bob	Morgan	Individual
Bob	Atkinson	Microsoft
Keith	Ballinger	Microsoft
Allen	Brown	Microsoft
Paul	Cotton	Microsoft
Giovanni	Della-Libera	Microsoft
Vijay	Gajjala	Microsoft
Johannes	Klein	Microsoft
Scott	Konersmann	Microsoft
Chris	Kurt	Microsoft
Brian	LaMacchia	Microsoft
Paul	Leach	Microsoft
John	Manferdelli	Microsoft
John	Shewchuk	Microsoft
Dan	Simon	Microsoft
Hervey	Wilson	Microsoft
Chris	Kaler	Microsoft (co-Chair)
Prateek	Mishra	Netegrity
Frederick	Hirsch	Nokia
Senthil	Sengodan	Nokia
Lloyd	Burch	Novell
Ed	Reed	Novell
Charles	Knouse	Oblix
Steve	Anderson	OpenNetwork (Sec)

Vipin	Samar	Oracle
Jerry	Schwarz	Oracle
Eric	Gravengaard	Reactivity
Stuart	King	Reed Elsevier
Andrew	Nash	RSA Security
Rob	Philpott	RSA Security
Peter	Rostin	RSA Security
Martijn	de Boer	SAP
Blake	Dournaee	Sarvega
Pete	Wenzel	SeeBeyond
Jonathan	Tourzan	Sony
Yassir	Elley	Sun Microsystems
Jeff	Hodges	Sun Microsystems
Ronald	Monzillo	Sun Microsystems
Jan	Alexander	Systinet
Michael	Nguyen	The IDA of Singapore
Don	Adams	TIBCO
John	Weiland	US Navy
Phillip	Hallam-Baker	VeriSign
Mark	Hays	Verisign
Hemma	Prafullchandra	VeriSign

Page 4: [3] Formatted **Anthony Nadalin** **6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM**

(Asian) Japanese

Page 4: [4] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [4] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [5] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [5] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [6] Formatted	Anthony Nadalin	6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM

(Asian) Japanese

Page 4: [7] Change	Unknown

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [7] Change	Unknown

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [8] Formatted	Anthony Nadalin	6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM

(Asian) Japanese

Page 4: [9] Change	Unknown

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [9] Change	Unknown

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [10] Formatted	Anthony Nadalin	6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM

(Asian) Japanese

Page 4: [11] Formatted	Anthony Nadalin	6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM

(Asian) Japanese

Page 4: [12] Change	Unknown

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [12] Change	Unknown

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [13] Change	Unknown

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [13] Change	Unknown

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [14] Formatted	Anthony Nadalin	6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM

(Asian) Japanese

Page 4: [15] Change	Unknown

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [15] Change	Unknown

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [16] Formatted	Anthony Nadalin	6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM

(Asian) Japanese

Page 4: [17] Change	Unknown

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [17] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [18] Formatted **Anthony Nadalin** **6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM**

(Asian) Japanese

Page 4: [19] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [19] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [20] Formatted **Anthony Nadalin** **6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM**

(Asian) Japanese

Page 4: [21] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [22] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [23] Formatted **Anthony Nadalin** **6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM**

(Asian) Japanese

Page 4: [24] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [25] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [26] Formatted **Anthony Nadalin** **6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM**

(Asian) Japanese

Page 4: [27] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [28] Deleted **Anthony Nadalin** **6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM**

Appendix A. Revision History.....

Page 4: [29] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [30] Formatted **Anthony Nadalin** **6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM**

(Asian) Japanese

Page 4: [31] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [32] Change **Unknown**

Field Code Changed

Page 4: [33] Formatted **Anthony Nadalin** **6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM**

(Asian) Japanese

Page 6: [34] Deleted **Anthony Nadalin** **6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM**

UCS	Universal Character Set
UTF8	UCS Transformation Format, 8-bit form

Page 18: [35] Deleted **Anthony Nadalin** **6/16/2005 7:00:00 AM**

Wd-1.4	2003-08-11	Anthony Nadalin	Editorial Updates
Cd-1.5	2003-12-09	Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler	Editorial Updates based on Issue List #30
Cd-1.5	2003-12-15	Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler	Editorial Updates based on Editorial feedback
Cd-1.6	2003-12-22	Anthony Nadalin	Editorial Updates based on Editorial feedback
Cd-1.7 & 1.8	2003-12-29	Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler	Editorial Updates based on Editorial feedback
Cd- 1.8	2004-01-19	Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler	Editorial corrections for name space and document name
Cd 1.9	2004-02-17	Anthony Nadalin	Editorial corrections per Karl Best

Notices